

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/130,593	08/07/1998		HERBERT GUST		6479
30996	7590	05/20/2002			
		ER & ASSOC	EXAMINER		
707 HIGHW SUITE B	AY 66 EA	AST	NOLAN, SANDRA M		
TIJERAS, N	M 87059	)			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	18
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2002	/ 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.D -/8	./
(s)	
RBERT	
ence address	
ered timely. e of this communication. 133). ny	
as to the merits is 3.	
.85(a). Examiner.	
ational Stage	

Application No.   Applicant(s)	• • •			1 - 1
## Examin r   Sandra M. Nolan   1772    - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply    A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available, under the provision of 3 CPR 1.15(6), in ne event, however, may a reply be time with the provision of time may be available, under the provision of 3 CPR 1.15(6), in ne event, however, may a reply be time the provision of time may be available, under the provision of 3 CPR 1.15(6), in ne event, however, may a reply be time from the mailing date of the communication of the provision of		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	•
Sandra M. Notan  The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply seported across is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statety minimum of thery (40) days will be considered timery.  If the period for reply seported across is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statety minimum of thery (40) days will be considered timery.  If the period for reply seported across is less than the constant and the state of the state of the period for reply seported across is less than the constant and the state of the state of the period of the constant and the state of the state of the period of the communication.  If the period for reply seported across is less than the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a manufacture of the communication of the state of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seminary reply reduced any seminary reduced an		09/130,593	GUST, HERBERT	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be a evaluate under the processors of 37 CR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a riphy be timely filled by the consideration of the processor of 37 CR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a riphy be timely filled in the period for reply specified above is less than they (50) days, and provide in the statutory minimum of they (30) days will be considered direacy. If the period for reply specified above is less than they (50) days, and provide and they of the period of the	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Ederaticity of time raty to be indicable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timaby filed  - If the period for reply to be indicable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timaby filed  - If the period for reply to be indicable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timaby filed  - If NO period for reply to applicate above, the maximum statutory paced will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTH's from the milling date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply to applicate the provision of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any counted palent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1)				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extentions of mormary be arrivable under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.786a). In relevant, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Extentions of the property of the provision of the		ation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	-
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b objected by the Examiner.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extend	ATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimulatory period will apply and will expire SIX ill, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  a   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>13 August 2001</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2l	b) This action is non-final		
4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practic			is is
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		pplication.		
6)			n.	
7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b   Some * c   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		on and/or election requireme	nt.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	••	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonge of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held ir	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) approved I	o) disapproved by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Office action		
13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.		
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been receive	d.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Ali Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been receive	d in Application No	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the Interna	itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a-claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r domestic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) 5) 🔲 No	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claims

1. Following entry of the response dated February 27, 2002 (which did not amend the application), claims 1-25 are pending.

### Election/Restrictions

- 2. This application contains claims 17-25 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse as discussed in the Office Action of November 21, 2000 (Paper No. 7).
- 3. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### **Priority**

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. The priority document was submitted on January 2, 2002 (Paper No. 15).

# Rejections Maintained

WID

5. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 1-16 for new matter, as set out in section 16 of the August 13, 2002 Office Action (Paper No. 14), is maintained for reasons of record.



6. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 1-16 as unpatentable over Heine (US 5,874,170 in view of Krause (US 5,958,532), as expressed in section 4 of Paper no. 14, is maintained for reasons of record.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed in Paper No. 17 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments in Paper No. 17 will be responded to in the order in which they were presented.

On page 2 of the response, applicant argues that the term is "morphologically" is not recited literally in the original disclosure, but that the plasma treatment that is claimed provides a "micro-sandblasting effect" to the surface.

However, the claims do not recite the presence of the "micro-sandblasting effect" on the surface. Accordingly, applicant is arguing a limitation that is not recited in the claims.

Note: The reference to "lack of enablement" on page 2 of Paper No. 2 is not understood. The rejection of claims 1-16 in section 6 of Paper No. 14 was for new matter, not for lack of enablement. Also, the Office Action of November 21, 2000 (Paper No. 7) did not recite a 35 USC 112 rejection.

On page 2, applicants state that Webster's New World Dictionary defines "morphology" to mean "[a]ny scientific study of form and structure, as in physical geography; form and structure, as of an organism, regarded as a whole." And then state that "morphological" means "the surface structure in a micro-region." [Paper No. 17, page 2, second full paragraph]

However, the quoted definition of "morphological" does not correspond to the Websters definition of "morphology". Thus applicant appears to cite Websters as an

**Art Unit: 1772** 

authority and then set up a definition that is at odds with the Websters definition. Please clarify.

On page 2, in the third full paragraph, applicant argues that the specification's literal language says, on page 3, lines 7-11: ". . . wherein said plasma treated connecting portion (7) is in a micro-sandblasting effect and chemically altered in a micro area..." and offers to insert this language into the claims.

However, the examiner is unable to find the quoted language in the passage referred to. Its addition to the claims would be considered new matter and render the claims subject to another 35 USC 112 rejection.

In the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3, applicant argues, again, that the microsandblasting effect that it achieved constitutes a morphological change to the surface. However, the language "micro-sandblasting effect" is not recited in the claims and—as best understood by the examiner—the equivalence of this effect and the term "morphological" has not been established on the record.

On page 3, applicants attempt to redefine the term "morphological" by stating that "morphological changes" referred to "physical or structural changes".

However, the definition of "morphology" (on page 2, in the second full paragraph, of Paper No. 17) says that "morphology" refers to a different concept. See the discussion of page 2's arguments above.

On page 3, applicant argues that Krause says, at col. 2, lines 4-17, that the physical structure of the plasma treated substrate is not changed by that treatment.

Art Unit: 1772

However, Krause says, at col. 6, lines 48+ that the chemical reactivity of the substrate is changed by plasma treatment, so that functional groups are inserted into the molecular structure of the substrate. Thus, Krause's treatment effects chemical and structural changes, which changes suggest the chemical and morphological alteration now called for by claim 1 (assuming the correctness of Webster's definition of "morphology" shown at page 2 of Paper No. 17).

On page 4, applicant argues that the plasma activation that is carried out in the instant invention is not similar to the plasma polymerization that applicants have found in Heine.

However, "plasma activation" is not recited in the claims. Again, applicant is arguing a limitation that his claims do not recite. The claims recite "plasma treatment" and the Heine/Krause combination suggests such treatment because Krause uses plasma treatment.

On page 5, applicant argues that the Heine reference requires the use of a plastic material between the sealing member (4, 4') and the carrier body (2, 2'). Note col. 2, lines 48-54 where the operation of the plastic material is described.

However, applicant's claims do not exclude a plastic material between the parts of his component that are to be adhered. Applicant's claim 1, as presented recited, says "wherein an adhesion supporting intermediate component is not necessary for an adhesive connection." This language does not exclude the use of such a intermediate. It merely states that it is not necessary.

Art Unit: 1772

In the paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6, applicant argues that the result of combining the teachings would result in something other than what applicant claims—i.e., it would result in two components attached via an intermediate whose surface is plasma treated to give only chemical changes therein.

However, even assuming *arguendo* that this is so, the claims do not exclude the use of an intermediate and/or chemical changes in the surface of it or either of the substrates to be adhered. In fact the term "chemically . . . altered" (recited in the third from last line of claim 1) is consistent with Krause's characterization of the effects of its plasma treatment.

# Final Rejection

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

**Art Unit: 1772** 

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner, Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 703/308-9545. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 703/308-4251. The general fax number for the art unit is 703/305-5436. The fax number for after final communications is 703/872-9310. The receptionist answers 703/308-0661.

SMN/smn 09130593(18)

May 13, 2002

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7